



Litigation & Dispute Resolution

2018

Seventh Edition

Contributing Editor:
Michael Madden

CONTENTS

Preface	Michael Madden, <i>Winston & Strawn London LLP</i>	
Australia	Colin Loveday, Richard Abraham & Sheena McKie, <i>Clayton Utz</i>	1
Bermuda	David Kessaram, Matthew Watson & Sam Riihiluoma, <i>Cox Hallett Wilkinson Limited</i>	14
Brazil	Eduardo Perazza & Ariana Anfe, <i>Machado, Meyer, Sendacz & Opice Advogados</i>	25
British Virgin Islands	Scott Cruickshank & Matthew Freeman, <i>Lennox Paton</i>	32
Cayman Islands	Ian Huskisson, Anna Peccarino & Neil McLarnon, <i>Travers Thorp Alberga</i>	47
China	Cui Qiang & Li Qishi, <i>Commerce & Finance Law Offices</i>	55
England & Wales	Michael Madden & Justin McClelland, <i>Winston & Strawn London LLP</i>	62
Finland	Markus Kokko & Niki J. Welling, <i>Borenius Attorneys Ltd</i>	96
France	Olivier Laude, Victor Champey & Olivier Guillaud, <i>Laude Esquier Champey</i>	105
Germany	Dr Thomas Nebel & Thomas Weimann, <i>Herbert Smith Freehills Germany LLP</i>	121
Greece	Spyros G. Alexandris & Eirini Panopoulou, <i>Bahas, Gramatidis & Partners</i>	131
India	Rishi Agrawala & Vishnu Tallapragada, <i>Agarwal Law Associates</i>	141
Italy	Micael Montinari & Filippo Frigerio, <i>Portolano Cavallo</i>	149
Japan	Shinya Tago, Takuya Uenishi & Landry Guesdon, <i>Iwata Godo</i>	160
Liechtenstein	Thomas Nigg, Eva-Maria Rhomberg & Domenik Vogt, <i>GASSER PARTNER Attorneys at Law</i>	173
Malaysia	Datuk Peter S.K. Yap, <i>Amin, Yap & Co.</i> Mark Ho, <i>Chellam Wong</i> Ooi Huey Miin, <i>Raja, Darryl & Loh</i>	183
Mexico	Miguel Angel Hernandez-Romo Valencia & Miguel Angel Hernandez Romo, <i>Foley Gardere Arena</i>	195
Romania	Silvia Uscov, <i>USCOV Attorneys at law</i>	202
Russia	Dr Viktor Gerbutov & Artem Kara, <i>Noerr</i>	209
Singapore	Chia Boon Teck & Wong Kai Yun, <i>Chia Wong LLP</i>	217
Spain	Pedro Moreira & Isabel Álvarez, <i>SCA LEGAL, SLP</i>	227
Switzerland	Balz Gross, Claudio Bazzani & Julian Schwaller, <i>Homburger</i>	239
Taiwan	Hung Ou Yang, Hung-Wen Chiu & Jia-Jun Fang, <i>Brain Trust International Law Firm</i>	254
Turkey	Orçun Çetinkaya & Burak Baydar, <i>Moroğlu Arseven</i>	261
Turks & Caicos Islands	Tim Prudhoe, <i>Prudhoe Caribbean</i>	268
Ukraine	Oleksandr Zavadetskyi, <i>Zavadetskyi Advocates Bureau</i>	278
UAE	Hamdan Al Shamsi, <i>Hamdan AlShamsi Lawyers and Legal Consultants</i>	288
USA	Rodney G. Strickland, Jr., Matthew R. Reed & Anthony J Weibell, <i>Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, P.C.</i>	299

Spain

Pedro Moreira & Isabel Álvarez
SCA LEGAL, SLP

Efficiency of process

Introduction to the Spanish legal system

Spain is a democratic State and a parliamentary monarchy in which, as in all modern democracies, powers are divided up between the three main branches of government. Judicial Power is vested in courts and tribunals, whose function is to administer justice in the name of the people.

In Spain, Judicial Power is ruled by the Constitution of 1978 (hereinafter, “CE”), specifically in Title VI (articles 117 to 127), and in Organic Law 6/1985, of July 1st, on the Judicial Power (hereinafter “LOPJ”), modified by the Organic Laws 19/1993, 20/2003 and 2/2004.

Different judicial bodies are in charge of the exercise of Judicial Power, organised in the civil, criminal, contentious-administrative, labour and military orders. These bodies must deal with and decide all the litigations that must be prosecuted in Spain, according to their nature.

In this chapter, we will focus on the Spanish institutional framework by which the prosecution of litigations in the civil and commercial areas is governed.

Courts and tribunals

For judicial purposes, Spain is organised territorially into municipalities, parties, provinces and Autonomous Communities.

The organisation and running of the courts and tribunals is regulated in the LOPJ, under which these bodies may be single-judge courts or collegiate courts. Next, we proceed to analyse the most relevant categories of courts and tribunals in the context of civil and commercial disputes:

- **Supreme Court (*Tribunal Supremo*):** Based in Madrid, the Supreme Court is the highest judicial body in all orders, except for the interpretation of constitutional rules, for which the Constitutional Court is responsible. The Supreme Court has jurisdiction throughout Spain and all other judicial bodies exercise their powers in subordination to it. It is formed by the civil, criminal, contentious-administrative, labour and military chambers.

The matters it deals with are assessed and regulated in the LOPJ, the most relevant civil proceedings dealt with by such court being those related to cassation of appellation rulings, revision and other extraordinary remedies.

- **High Courts of Justice (*Tribunales Superiores de Justicia*):** These courts are judicial bodies with jurisdiction over the territory of each Autonomous Community, after which they are named. Each of these courts is made up of the civil and criminal, contentious-administrative and labour chambers.

The High Courts of Justice are the judicial bodies before which all successive procedural instances of pleas initiated in the respective communities for matters within their competence finish up, without affecting the higher competence of the Supreme Court and central jurisdictional bodies in certain matters.

The Civil Chamber of these courts deals with appeals for annulment and review against resolutions of jurisdictional bodies of the civil order based in the Autonomous Community, provided that the appeal is based on violation of civil, local or special law norms of the community, and after the corresponding Statute of Autonomy has approved this. In addition, it supports and controls arbitration, as well as requests for exequatur of arbitration awards or foreign resolutions, unless this corresponds to another court or tribunal according to the provisions of treaties or European Union regulations.

- **Provincial Courts (*Audiencias Provinciales*):** Each province has a court, which is a higher judicial body with certain powers in the civil and criminal areas. As a rule, each Provincial Court is named after the capital of the province and extends its jurisdiction to the entire territory of that province.

In the civil order, these courts mainly deal with and decide on appeals against rulings given by the courts of first instance.

- **Courts of First Instance:** These are single-judge judicial bodies with jurisdiction in civil and most commercial matters. In each judicial county, there are one or more courts of first instance. Their headquarters are in its capital and it has jurisdiction in all its territorial area.
- **Commercial Courts:** These judicial bodies are based in the capital of each province; they have jurisdiction over it, although commercial courts may be established to extend their jurisdiction to two or more provinces of the same Autonomous Community. These are specialised courts within the civil order, and have jurisdiction in the commercial area (bankruptcy, anti-competitive practices, transportation, industrial property, intellectual property and publicity, as well as corporate matters, among others). However, civil matters that are not expressly assigned to them by law are dealt with by the courts of first instance.
- **Constitutional Court:** This court is the Spanish constitutional body that acts as supreme interpreter of the Constitution. It is regulated in the CE, in Title IX (articles 159 to 165), and in Organic Law 2/1979, of October 3rd, of *Constitutional Court*, amended by Organic Law 6/2007, of May 24th.

This court is independent from the Judicial Power and, in the performance of its function, is subject to the CE and its Organic Law only. In addition, it is unique in its order and extends its jurisdiction to the entire national territory. The magistrates of the Constitutional Court are appointed by the King, among lawyers and other professionals in the world of law proposed by different higher bodies of the State, including the Parliament and the Government (article 159 CE).

The last interpretation of the provisions of the CE is constitutionally assigned to the Constitutional Court which, as such, is in charge of hearing requests for the declaration of unconstitutionality of ordinary rules, protection of rights foreseen by the CE and solving conflicts of competence between the State and the Autonomous Communities and between the Autonomous Communities, without detriment to others that the laws of ordinary rank may assign to it.

Mechanisms for dispute resolution

The Spanish legal system basically foresees two ways of resolving disputes in civil and commercial matters: on the one hand, judicial proceedings; and, on the other, voluntary proceedings, the latter being an alternative to the first with two types of instruments, mediation and arbitration.

a) *Judicial proceedings*

In civil and commercial matters, the Law 1/2000 of January 7th, of *Civil Procedural Law* (hereinafter, “LEC”), basically foresees two types of declaratory judgments for the resolution of disputes: the so-called “small claims procedure” and the ordinary procedure; and an abbreviated procedure without a properly declaratory character, the order for payment procedure (*procedimiento monitorio*).

- The **small claims procedure**, regulated in articles 437 to 447 of the LEC, is a type of declaratory procedure for claims of up to €6,000.00, and for the prosecution of matters expressly mandated by that legal body, regardless of their amount.

This procedure begins with a claim, which is followed by the defendant’s written response, and a sole hearing in which decisions are taken about procedural issues and the admission of evidence, then evidence is taken before trial and it ends with the issuance of a ruling.

- The **ordinary procedure**, regulated in articles 399 to 436 of the LEC, is applicable to certain matters expressly contemplated in the law (for example, in competition law matters, and claims to amounts of over €6,000.00, as long as this matter is not to be dealt with by a small claims procedure). This procedure is similar to a small claims procedure, except for the fact that, instead of a sole hearing, it is developed with two, namely: a pre-trial, in which procedural issues are resolved and evidence is proposed and admitted; and a main trial, in which the evidence is produced and conclusions, on the evidence and the law applicable to it, are drawn by the parties. Once the trial has been held, the case ends with the issuance of a ruling.
- The **order for payment procedure**, ruled in articles 812 to 818 of the LEC, is an expediting procedure foreseen for the settlement of disputes which, as we have said, does not have a declaratory nature and does not end with the declaration of the law to be applied to the claim it is dealing with. Like the aforementioned declaratory judgements, it is heard by a Court of First Instance and is intended for monetary claims of any amount, provided that the claimed amount is liquid and determined, the deadline for its settlement has expired and that it is backed by any evidence means admitted in law (invoices, delivery notes, etc.).

The procedure is initiated by an initial petition, in which the court is asked to require the debtor to pay or make the pertinent allegations. If the debtor pays or does not answer the court’s request, the judge will issue a payment order, which has an executive character and ends the procedure; if the requested party opposes, the requesting party has to file a declaratory claim, to be dealt with in a small claims or ordinary procedure, depending on amount claimed by the plaintiff.

b) *Voluntary proceedings*

Arbitration and mediation are currently regulated respectively, in Law 60/2003, as of December 23rd, *on Arbitration*, which repealed the first Spanish arbitration law enacted in 1988, and in Law 5/2012, of July 6th, *on mediation in civil and commercial matters*.

As provided in Law 60/2003, submission to arbitration is always voluntary and responds to an agreement – previous or contemporary to the dispute – between the parties, to choose the arbitrator, the language of the proceedings, the place and whether the arbitration is to be governed by rules of law or equity. An arbitrator (or several) will be in charge of resolving the dispute through an arbitral award.

Although submission to arbitration is voluntary, the resolution issued in the arbitral proceedings is, in any case, mandatory for the parties.

Once the award has been issued, neither party can go to court to obtain a different resolution, since an arbitration award is deemed a judged thing. In the event that the parties fail to comply with the award voluntarily, the party benefiting therefrom may request the enforcement of the same before the courts of the State, by filing a suit for execution, under the terms provided by the LEC for judicial resolutions liable to execution.

With the approval of the aforesaid laws on arbitration and mediation, the legislator has tried to encourage the use of mediation and arbitration to solve private disputes. However, for the time being, partly due to lack of knowledge by the justiciables and partly due to the costs that it may entail, voluntary proceedings are not heavily used in Spain.

Procedural management

From a procedural point of view, Spain has a special characteristic, insofar as the law provides for the existence of a professional other than the lawyer (hereinafter, *abogado* or “lawyer”); the *Procurador de los Tribunales* (hereinafter, *Procurador*), to whom it entrusts the representation of each of the parties in civil and commercial proceedings.

In her capacity as representative of the party, the law assigns the *Procurador*, among others, powers to serve the pleadings of the party she represents and to receive notifications of the pleadings served by the other, as well as the decisions issued by the judicial body before which the case is being heard. Her intervention is mandatory in all civil proceedings, with the exception of the order for payment procedure and in proceedings whose amount does not exceed €2,000.00, where the parties do not need to appear in court with the assistance of a *Procurador*.

At the same time, the *abogado* is responsible for the client’s assessment and technical defence. As with the *Procurador*, her intervention is mandatory, except in regard to the initial request for payment procedure and in proceedings whose amount does not exceed €2,000.00.

In recent years, with the approval of Law 18/2011, of July 5th, which regulates the use of information and communication technologies in the administration of justice, and the Royal Decree 1065/2015, which develops it, an electronic system (LEXNET) was set up, through which all the procedural documents must be served and all the notifications made in the proceedings must be received.

Although its introduction means progress, so far, the use of LEXNET has not significantly invigorated the procedural management system, as it suffers from technical deficiencies and its participation in this process is still limited.¹

Efficiency of the system

In general terms, the Spanish legal system adequately protects the rights of the justiciables, who see their disputes resolved in an independent and professional manner within a period of time that is usually not too long.

The time it takes to resolve a dispute in the first instance, that is, from the filing of the claim to the sentencing, is usually shorter than a year. In case of appeal, it would be necessary to count on one more year until the matter is ruled in the second instance. Once an appeal ruling has been issued, and if this resolution is to be revised by the Supreme Court (in those scenarios where this is allowed), the procedure may be extended for another two years.

This average timespan, which clearly does not seem excessive, at least in comparison with other countries in our legal and cultural vicinity, can, however, be extended in certain situations – as there can be other delaying factors, such as difficulties with the service of the claim to the defendant, excessive workload of the court or tribunal in charge of the case, or the request for legal aid by one of the parties, with consequent damage to the interests of the parties or of one of them.

Notifying the defendant of the claim can be problematic when the defendant does not reside at the address the plaintiff is aware of, since, in that case, the law imposes the burden on the plaintiff of finding an address where the claim can be served to the defendant. In our opinion, this is a bad solution, especially in the area of contractual disputes, in which, like in other countries, it would be possible for the law to consider the inclusion in contracts of a *domicilium citandi* for each of the parties, to the effect that the party to whom the court has to notify the filing of the claim is considered notified as of the moment at which the judicial notice has been sent to the address included in the contract.

Integrity of process

In Spain, access to the judicial system is fully granted by the CE. In effect, article 24 of said legal body establishes, as a constitutional principle, the right of individuals to effective judicial protection in the exercise of their rights and legitimate interests without ever being defenceless.

Likewise, article 117 of the CE guarantees respect for certain essential principles, undoubtedly necessary for the proper functioning of the Judiciary, such as the principles of impartiality, independence, job stability and responsibility of judges and magistrates and of legality, according to which the work of these must always be based on the law.

In addition, as established in the LEC (articles 99 and following), judges and magistrates must refrain from hearing any lawsuit in which, objectively, they may have some type of interest; the parties may request their exclusion, if they believe that the judge or a certain magistrate appointed to hear the case are not in a position to do so impartially, and the affected judge or magistrate have not recused themselves *ex officio* from hearing such case.

Privilege and disclosure

Privileged communication

Legal privilege is a right and an essential duty in the Spanish legal profession, extending to communications between lawyer and client and between lawyers themselves.

In Spain, legal privilege in the legal profession is regulated in different legal bodies, especially in the LOPJ, *the General Statute of the Spanish Practice of Law*, approved by Royal Decree 658/2001, of June 22nd, and the *Code of Ethics of the Spanish Practice of Law*.

According to the aforementioned regulations, lawyers are to keep secret all facts or news they know through any of the modalities of their professional performance, so that the relationship between *abogados* and their clients must be protected by a duty of confidentiality imposed on the legal practitioner. As a rule, *abogados* are not forced to

declare on matters in which they have been professionally involved.

Legal privilege encompasses the conversations of the lawyer with her clients, opposing parties or their lawyers, and as such these conversations cannot be recorded without prior consent of the parties involved, and will always be protected by legal privilege. Likewise, communications by the lawyer and her client, and between lawyers, must be considered confidential and reserved.

The duties that imply legal privilege will remain even after the *abogado* has ceased to provide services to her client.

The breach of legal privilege by lawyers can have different disciplinary consequences that, depending on their severity, can range from the imposition of a minor penalty to the disqualification of their position for a specific time, or even expulsion from the Bar Association to which they belong.

Disclosure

1. In civil proceedings, an essentially accusatory model of evidence applies, so it is the parties who are obligated to collect and prepare the evidence they consider appropriate to prove their claims, with very limited intervention from the judge, who, as far as not admitting evidence he does not consider pertinent, can do little more than invite the parties to propose certain evidence to prove certain facts, if he believes the evidence proposed by them is insufficient.

The hearing of evidence is ruled by the LEC, which provides for the necessary requirements and deadlines. They vary according to the declaratory procedure. As for the admitted evidence, the LEC includes several types, such as, among others, witnesses' testimony, the declaration of the other party and the contribution and examination of documents, including electronic documents of any type.

Although, as we have pointed out, the Spanish evidence system is essentially accusatory, it is only possible in a limited way for each party to develop an intense probative activity (Discovery) which the other party cannot refuse, under the supervision of a judge and prior to the inception of contentious proceedings, unlike what happens in several common law countries and, especially, in the United States of America. However, recently, the legislator has chosen to include in Law 15/2015, of July 2nd, *on non-contentious proceedings*, a procedure applicable in commercial matters under which, within certain terms, a person legally obliged to keep accounting, may be required to display the corresponding books, documents and accounting support. In the event that she does not do so without justification, the court will apply a coercive fine of up to €300 per day until the requested evidence is submitted.

2. In May 2017, the Royal Decree Law 9/2017, of May 26th, came into effect, which transposed, among others, the Directive 2014/104/EU, of the Parliament and the Council. It establishes certain rules to govern, under national law, actions for damages resulting from infringements of the competition law of the Member States and the European Union. In procedural matters, this transposition was carried out through the addition of new provisions, on procedures for claiming damages for breach of competition law, to the LEC (articles 283*bis* a to 283*bis* k).

The new regulations are intended to prevent the obstruction of evidence by the opposing party, adopting a series of measures to those effects, such as, for example, the possibility of having a hearing for such purpose. The court may adopt measures to order a document production. However, it is by no means a true “discovery” mechanism,

since the request for production to third parties or to the opposing party is allowed for certain documents only, and in all cases under certain proportionality requirements that significantly limits its use.

Litigation costs

In Spain, procedural costs are all those verifiable expenses that originate during a legal process, the most important of which are the fees charged by professionals (*abogados*, *procuradores*, experts), translation of documents and publication of notifications in official gazettes, and the court fees.²

The costs are imposed in the ruling, in accordance with a general principle according to which the costs are imposed on the party losing the lawsuit, who, therefore, is liable for the payment of her own costs and those of the winning party (article 394 LEC).

Also, as a general rule, if the claim is upheld partially, each party will bear its own litigation expenses, the common ones being borne by each of the parties equally.

Once the court imposes costs on one of the parties, the same must be assessed in a separate proceeding (cost assessment proceeding), initiated at the request of the winning party, although its amount as a rule shall not exceed one third of the amount of the main lawsuit.

Litigation funding

To litigate in Spain, each party must pay for their own lawyer's and *Procurador's*³ fees; nevertheless, as we have said, such fees may be recovered by either party according to the judgment of costs.

However, nothing prevents the costs of a lawsuit being financed by a third party, and this despite the fact that, so far, no legislation specifically covering this type of financing has been approved. The truth is that, in recent years, as in other countries, in Spain, several financial institutions and shadow financiers have begun to finance lawsuits, notably those where disputed amounts are substantial.

In addition, for those who do not have sufficient financial means, the law provides for legal aid, as foreseen in the CE (article 119). Pursuant to Law 1/1996, of January 10th, *on legal aid*, legal aid is granted to every person engaged in a dispute, whether as a plaintiff or a defendant, whose yearly income does not exceed a certain amount established by law.

Interim relief

In Spanish legal proceedings, the claimant has the power, if she deems it appropriate, to request precautionary measures, in order to ensure the effectiveness of the legal protection that may be granted to her in the ruling. These measures may never be adopted *ex officio* by the court.

In civil proceedings, these measures are ruled in articles 727 *et seq.* of the LEC, which foresee a series of specific precautionary measures that may be adopted (liens, intervention or administration of productive assets, movable property deposit, etc.); notwithstanding that, when appropriate, the plaintiff may request the court to adopt certain measures not specifically foreseen in the law.

The Judge shall decide by an order and in separate proceedings, wherever she upholds the precautionary measures requested by the plaintiff or, by contrast, dismisses them. The granting of precautionary measures needs to be grounded and comply with a series of requirements established by law.

Unless expressly provided otherwise, the enforcement of precautionary measures is subject to the provision by the plaintiff of a surety bond that covers the damages that those measures could impose on the defendant's estate.

Enforcement of judgments/awards

Under Spanish legislation, the enforcement of rulings falls under the authority of the judicial power (article 117.3 of the CE). Likewise, the LEC regulates the enforcement procedure in civil matters in its articles 5, 45 and 551 *et seq.*

In the event that the condemned party does not voluntarily comply with the judgment, the winning party may, by suit for execution, request the enforcement of such ruling.

The law establishes that the suit for execution must be based on an enforceable title. Final judgments,⁴ arbitral awards and mediation agreements made through a deed issued by a notary public, are all deemed enforceable titles.

As a general rule, the enforcement is requested by application and at the request of a party before the Judge of the Court of First Instance that has issued the judgment or resolution that is intended to be executed, or who has heard the case in the first instance.

The suit for execution must express the title on which the enforcement is based, as well as the court protection sought from the court, the property of the condemned or obliged party that is to be seized, the investigation measures necessary to identify seizable assets, and the name of the person or persons against whom the judgment is to be enforced.

Once the necessary procedures have been carried out and provided that all assumptions and procedural requirements are met, the court shall dictate a general enforcement order followed by a decree, which will detail the specific appropriate enforcement measures, including those concerning the investigation of the assets of the condemned or obliged party.

The condemned or obliged party may oppose the enforcement on several grounds, in which case a limited contradictory procedure is opened. In this limited procedure, the court allows the hearing of evidence, after which a court order maintaining the enforcement or dismissing it is issued; the said resolution is appealable.

In the event that, in the enforcement, assets subject to seizure⁵ are found, the claimant may request that the court orders their seizure.

Cross-border litigation

According to the provisions of the LOPJ (article 21), as a general rule, Spanish courts will hear claims that arise in Spanish territory in accordance with the provisions of international treaties and conventions that Spain is part of, in the rules of the European Union and in Spanish laws.

Likewise, the aforementioned rule establishes a series of matters on which the Spanish courts shall have exclusive jurisdiction, and this regardless of whether the dispute presents elements of connection with other jurisdictions.⁶

Recently, the Spanish Parliament enacted Law 29/2015, as of July 30th, *on international legal cooperation in civil matters*, which rules on international legal cooperation between Spanish and foreign authorities in civil and commercial matters (hereinafter, LCJI).

Among other matters, the LCJI covers the serving of court and other official documents, including notifications, as well as the request for execution of letters rogatory, at the request of foreign authorities. The LCJI is governed by a principle of cooperation⁷ – under which

our authorities are to cooperate with foreign authorities on the said matters, even in situations where the existence of reciprocity by these in similar cases has not been determined.

The Ministry of Justice of Spain is the department in charge of applying the LCJI, and, as such, is obliged to collaborate with the requesting authorities and resolve any difficulties that may arise in the processing of cooperation requests.

Notification of documents

According to the LCJI, the Spanish authorities may make notifications abroad (or receive notifications therefrom) by mail addressed to the recipient, provided that the legislation of the destination State⁸ does not exclude such practice.

In the event that the defendant does not appear in the proceedings, it will be suspended as long as it has been proven that the document has been regularly served; likewise, six months after the date of dispatch of the document, the competent authority shall deem the party's request duly addressed even if it has not been able to verify that the notification has taken place.

As for the documents issued by a notary public and other authorities, the LCJI provides that they can be notified abroad, when the requesting authority is Spanish, or in Spain, when the issuing authority is foreign, for formalities concerning court documents, as long as such formalities are suitable for these documents.

Hearing and obtaining of evidence internationally. Evidence of foreign law

The LCJI allows obtaining evidence in Spain for a foreign procedure or abroad for a procedure taking place in Spain, provided that the requested evidence is directly related to this procedure and, if such evidence is to be produced at a pre-trial phase, then it needs to be suitable for such purpose under Spanish legislation.

Likewise, the LCJI establishes that the evidence must observe the due process guarantees foreseen in the Spanish legislation and be carried out in accordance with Spanish procedural provisions – though without establishing a listing of evidence that may be heard in Spain at the request of a foreign court.

Finally, in what concerns the evidence of foreign law that is to be applied to a lawsuit being heard in Spain, there is a general principle according to which the party invoking foreign law in a court must prove its content and validity. In the absence of evidence, the judge must apply Spanish law (article 281.2 of the LEC).

Recognition and enforcement of foreign judicial decisions

According to Regulation (EU) 1215/2012, of December 12th, of the European Parliament, in matters of jurisdiction and recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters, judgments issued in a member state of the European Union will be recognised without the need of any recognition proceedings, and may be fully enforced once the court verifies that none of the grounds for denial of the recognition, expressly foreseen in such piece of legislation, apply.

Likewise, the LCJI establishes that foreign judicial resolutions can be recognised and enforced in Spain. In principle, all foreign resolutions that comply with the requirements set forth in the LCJI will be recognised, provided that they are deemed *res judicata*, in case they have been issued in a contentious procedure, or anyhow definitive resolutions, in case they have been issued in a non-contentious procedure.

For the recognition of resolutions issued in non-EU countries, the LCJI has opted to keep the traditional *exequatur* procedure. Once the *exequatur* has been granted, the foreign judicial resolution will merit recognition and will be enforced as if it were Spanish.

Likewise, the LCJI contains a list of grounds to refuse the granting of the *exequatur*, such as the fact that the ruling is contrary to public order, that it has been issued with an obvious breach of the rights of defence of any of the parties, that it rules on a matter over which only the Spanish courts have jurisdiction, or that there is no reasonable connection between the dispute and the foreign court country that has issued the judgment.

In addition, the LCJI establishes that no special process will be required for the registration in official Registries of foreign judicial resolutions that are deemed *res judicata*. However, the Registrar must verify the regularity and authenticity of the documents presented to her and also the absence of any grounds under which the *exequatur* should be denied. In those cases in which the registration is denied, the interested parties need to apply for the granting of the *exequatur* by the competent court.

Foreign judicial decisions that are enforceable in the country where they were issued are enforceable in Spain once the *exequatur*⁹ has been obtained, the same rule applying to foreign court settlements.

For other official documents, the law does not foresee a prior recognition procedure, provided that a series of requirements are met (being enforceable in the country of origin, not being contrary to public order, and having at least the same or similar effectiveness of similar documents issued by Spanish authorities).

International arbitration

As mentioned earlier, arbitration is currently regulated by Law 60/2003, of December 23rd, *on Arbitration*; this legal body is applicable to purely internal arbitrations as well as to arbitrations that present elements of connection with more than one legal system (international arbitrations).

However, with regard to international arbitrations that take place in Spain, these need to comply with the provisions of the *European Convention on International Commercial Arbitration*, of 1961, of which Spain has been a party since 1975, and the grounds that in Spain, international law prevails over the ordinary internal law, in case of contradiction between them.

Likewise, the *Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards* of 1958, of which Spain has been a party since 1977, applies to recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitration judgments.

Mediation

With the approval of the aforementioned Law 5/2012, of July 6th, incorporated to the Spanish law with the Directive 2008/52/EC, of May 21st, 2008 of the European Parliament and Council, *on cross-border mediation in civil and commercial matters*, the Spanish legal system has a new mechanism for voluntary dispute resolution – mediation – for disputes in matters or business, including so-called cross-border disputes.¹⁰

Mediation is a dispute resolution mechanism in which, regardless of its name, two or more parties try voluntarily to reach an agreement to resolve a dispute between them with the assistance of a mediator (article 1 of Law 5/2012).

As a rule, according to Law 5/2012, mediation is possible when the dispute concerns rights that can be renounced by the parties, as is usual in commercial disputes, and this regardless of whether the mediation takes place out of or during a judicial procedure.

The agreement reached in mediation is enforceable whenever it is made in a deed issued by a notary public or once it has been homologated by a court (article 25 of Law 5/2012).

In 2013, the Royal Decree 980/2013, of December 13th, was passed, which the Law 5/2012 implemented in several aspects, such as the training required for mediators to do their job, the creation of mediator records, the introduction of a simplified electronic mediation procedure, and the possibility to enter in agreements with the Ministry of Justice and the Autonomous Communities to promote the circulation of the information contained in the different mediator records.

* * *

Endnotes

1. This is on the grounds that the *abogados* cannot access the system in those proceedings in which the representation of the parties by a *Procurador* is mandatory – a great majority – and also due to the fact that, instead of offering an integrated view of the proceedings (with access to all the documents that have been generated at any time in each proceedings, organised by dates and parties), the system is limited to being a mere platform for the service of documentary communication.
2. In terms of costs, solicitors' fees are usually calculated according to the Guiding Criteria of the professional association to which they belong, while the *Procurador's* fees are calculated through the application of tariffs approved by law.
3. In addition, the plaintiff is also bound to pay the court fees, in the cases foreseen by the law where such payment is mandatory.
4. In certain cases foreseen by the LEC, the law allows the provisional enforcement of judgments that are not final, in the sense that an appeal filed against them is still pending.
5. In order to protect the debtor, the LEC establishes immunity for seizure of certain assets, as well as proportional quantitative limits, in case of seizure of wages and pensions.
6. This is the case, among others, in the rights over properties located in Spain, the constitution, validity, nullity or dissolution of companies organised under the laws of Spain, and the validity or nullity of any registrations carried out by a Spanish Registrar.
7. The principle of cooperation allows for exceptions, as the LCJI contains a wide range of situations, very similar to the grounds for denial of the *exequatur*, which can be grounds for the dismissal of requests for international legal cooperation.
8. Along with the documents subject to notification or transfer abroad, there must be a translation to the official language of the destination State or in a language that the recipient understands, and all foreign documents subject to notification in Spain must be translated into Spanish or, where appropriate, into the official language of the Autonomous Community where the documents are to be served.
9. The procedure for the enforcement of foreign resolutions in Spain will be governed, in any case, by the provisions of the LEC, including those relating to the expiration of the right of enforcement of the resolution.
10. A dispute is considered cross-border when at least one of the parties is domiciled in a State different from that of the other party at the time when both agree to use mediation or when they are required, under the applicable law, to accept mediation as the method for solving their dispute (article 2 of Law 5/2012).

**Pedro Moreira****Tel: +34 91 781 50 40 / Email: pedro.moreira@sca-legal.com**

A founding partner of SCA LEGAL, SLP, Pedro Moreira has almost 20 years of experience as a litigation lawyer, specialising in civil, commercial and corporate law disputes. Thanks to his expertise in those areas of law and his background in economics and business administration, he regularly advises clients, from different jurisdictions and sectors, in complex litigation cases (in relation to the breach of commercial contracts, damage claims, shareholders conflicts and other corporate law issues, bankruptcy and insolvency matters, etc.), some of them multijurisdictional and/or heard by a court of arbitration. Mr. Moreira advises also on a regular basis on non-contentious matters, mostly in commercial and corporate law.

Mr. Moreira graduated in Law from the Complutense University of Madrid and has also a B.A. in Law from the Catholic University of Lisbon, a M.A. in Economics (*Diploma de Estudios Avanzados en Economía*) from the Complutense University of Madrid and an MBA from the Camilo José Cela University (Madrid). He is a member of the Madrid Bar Association, speaks fluent Spanish, Portuguese and English and has also a working knowledge of French and German.

**Isabel Álvarez****Tel: +34 91 781 50 40 / Email: isabel.alvarez@sca-legal.com**

Isabel Álvarez is a partner of SCA LEGAL, SLP. She has almost 10 years of experience as a litigator, her practice focusing on civil, commercial and labour law disputes. She regularly advises Spanish and foreign clients in complex litigation cases (in relation to breaches of contract, damage claims, real property and inheritance disputes, termination of labour agreements with directors and other senior staff, etc.). She advises also on a regular basis on non-contentious matters, mostly in civil and commercial law.

Ms. Álvarez holds a B.A. in Law from the Complutense University of Madrid and is a member of the Madrid Bar Association. She speaks fluent Spanish and English.

SCA LEGAL, SLP

C/ Castelló 82 – 28006 Madrid, Spain

Tel: +34 91 781 50 40 / Fax: +34 91 781 50 41 / URL: www.sca-legal.com

www.globallegalinsights.com

Other titles in the **Global Legal Insights** series include:

- **Banking Regulation**
- **Blockchain & Cryptocurrency Regulation**
- **Bribery & Corruption**
- **Cartels**
- **Corporate Tax**
- **Employment & Labour Law**
- **Energy**
- **Fund Finance**
- **Initial Public Offerings**
- **International Arbitration**
- **Merger Control**
- **Mergers & Acquisitions**
- **Pricing & Reimbursement**



Strategic partner

www.globallegalinsights.com

Other titles in the **Global Legal Insights** series include:

- **Banking Regulation**
- **Blockchain & Cryptocurrency Regulation**
- **Bribery & Corruption**
- **Cartels**
- **Corporate Tax**
- **Employment & Labour Law**
- **Energy**
- **Fund Finance**
- **Initial Public Offerings**
- **International Arbitration**
- **Merger Control**
- **Mergers & Acquisitions**
- **Pricing & Reimbursement**



Strategic partner